

02/06/2025: Quorum

Person or group submitting

Briar

Proposal History

- Submission Date: 01/04/2025
- Vote date: 2/6/25
- Vote result: pass

Friendly Ammendments

- Take attendance, Outreach if ppl aren't showing up
- Explain difference b/w Tabling v blocking
- Possibility of bad faith actors not showing up to keep something from moving forward
- This qourum proposal is a working rule but we can address something fishy or bad faith if we sense it

Motivation

To ensure that enough spokes representatives are present to avoid decisions being made that do not reflect the overall interests of the council and its spokes

Proposal overview ~~slightly less tiny~~ ~~summary here~~

At least 5 spokes representatives must be present at a council meeting in order for the council to make decisions. If fewer than 5 are present, the council can still convene to discuss matters, but cannot make decisions.

Details

Background info

According to initial [research](#) by Frank, we have 12 initial spokes with another 15 potentially meeting spoke criteria.

Groups this affects

Spokes council

Work required

1. Ensure that the council is aware of quorum by announcing it during the first meeting

Individual or group doing labor

Whoever is representing the spokescouncil at the first meeting.

Money required

None

Proposals

I propose that spokes council meetings require a quorum of at least 5 spokes representatives to have the authority to vote on proposals. If a working group cannot send a representative to the meeting but an agenda item will heavily effect their group, they can postpone it to the next meeting.

comments (format: commenter name: comment)

Ash: this makes sense in general. one catch i see is, if there is a proposal that affects heavily or is put forward by a specific WG that isn't present, it makes less sense to push it through or reject it even if we have quorum. if that WG's reps arent there that meeting, imo, that should warrant pushing the passing of the proposal back until that WG can be represented at the spokescouncil or finding an asynchronous way to move it along.

briar: makes sense. i revised the proposal to giving absentee wgs the choice to block proposals that effect them

frankie: this seems to me like a reasonable place to start. quorum might get reevaluated as the Spokescouncil meets and takes on more consequential decisions, and i think that's ok.

Revision #3

Created 10 April 2025 20:53:01 by paul .

Updated 6 June 2025 23:37:39 by Ash